



No. 25-5660
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DAVID C. WHITE Petitioner, P
Vs.
Respondent
Judge Charles Bailey, R1
Judge Amy Baggio, R2

On Petition for an Extraordinary Writ by Rule 20 to the United States
Federal Court the Ninth Circuit Federal Court case 3:25-CV-00501-AB

Extraordinary Writ of Prohibition, Mandamus by Rule 20.

Respondent's R1 Counsel of Record

Dan Rayfield

Eliot D. Thompson, Jonell Bissonette, Brenda Maldonado, Denise
Fjordbeck

1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 Telephone: (503) 947-4700

R2

Judge Amy Baggio

Mark O. Hatfield United States Courthouse 1000 Southwest Third
Avenue, Room 1427

Portland, Oregon 97204-2944nChambers: 503-326-8320 503-326-8051

Petitioner

David C. White 18965 NW Illahe st Portland, OR 97229 503-608-7611

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

$$Q(x)$$

1. Shall U.S. Courts at all levels persist in extreme bias against pro se or any litigant, contrary to Judicial Code of Conduct and Loper Bright, especially in use of Administrative Law to nullify federal law for Summary Judgment, by dismissing a case when defense fails to Appear? This unjust procedure is systemic throughout the Ninth Circuit Court System, suggesting collusion in obstruction of justice.
2. Shall a judge who dismisses a case when defense fails to Appear be guilty of Misprision of Felony, having reviewed the felonies admitted by abandonment of the defense, then does nothing to adjudicate them, thus denying due process of law in defiance of Loper Bright?
3. Shall a ruling of “frivolous” be rendered only after a thorough investigation of case facts and law, rather than subjective Judicial Discretion under Administrative Law?
4. Shall judges in the Ninth Circuit persist in violation of Loper Bright, thus denying citizens 14th Amendment equal protection under the law, compared to citizens in other jurisdictions such as the Tenth Circuit, which complies with Loper Bright, per their home page?
5. Shall judicial immunity be reserved exclusively for Courts convened under Article III of the U.S. Constitution and denied to illegal Administrative Law courts convened in defiance of Loper Bright and Article III of the U.S. Constitution?
 - a. Shall any Judge or Justice have absolute judicial immunity for violation of federal laws or the U.S. Constitution, thus denying citizen rights to due process of law?
6. Shall any court under cover of judicial immunity, dismiss a case of

1 ADA violation as “frivolous” without thorough investigation --
2 potentially pending by ADA investigative Authority -- thus denying due
3 process and equal protection of law to our most vulnerable citizens?
4

5 7. Shall any Court illegally dismiss a Complaint as frivolous by Abuse of
6 Process when Defendants are in default but the judge fails to enforce
7 the 21-day FRCP rule? This unjust abuse of judicial discretion is
8 systemic throughout the Ninth Circuit Court System.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	3
8	OPINIONS BELOW.....	5
9	JURISDICTION.....	6
10	STATEMENT OF THE CASE	7
11	REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	11
12	INTRODUCTION.....	12
13	STATED CLAIMS.....	16
14	CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	17
15	CONCLUSION.....	30
16	PRAYER FOR RELIEF.....	31
17	APPENDIX.....	34

19 Appendix One is illegal order of federal court.

20 Appendix Two is clear and convincing evidence of Petitioners disability.

21 Appendix Three is 2nd edition college textbook for environmental science.

22 Appendix Four is order to remove court documents from Petitioners home
23 title.

24 Appendix Five A and B are two complaints filed against wayward R2.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

30 1) U.S. Supreme Court June 28th 2024.

31 <https://thelawisyourattorney.com/loper-bright-enterprises/> 22-451 June 28th, 2024 Federal Case number 22-451 in Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo and Relentless, Inc. v. Department of Commerce. 6, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 41 and 48).

35 2) Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002): 17 and 13.

Statutes:

1
2 3) 18 USC 3 accessory after the fact.....5 and 10.
3 4) FRCP 20 enjoining.....17. 27 and 28.
4 5) 18 U.S.C. 4: Misprision of felony8, 19, 20 and 61.
5 6) <https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>11, 14, 15, 17, and 19.
7 7) 28 U.S. Code § 455 (b), (1) Disqualification of justice, judge, or
8 magistrate judge....., 14, 15, 18, and 19.
9 8) 18 U.S.C. 1621 Perjury, 5, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 24 and 59.
10 9) Federal Rule 60. Relief from a Judgment or Order, 26.
11 10) Rule 56. Summary Judgment.....5, 7 and 13.
12 11) 2021 US Code Title 28 - Judiciary and Judicial Procedure Part I -
13 Organization of Courts Chapter 5 - District Courts Sec. 144 - Bias or
14 prejudice of judge. 9, 12, 14, 17, 27, 37, 38, 40, 46, and 49.
15 12) 42 U.S. Code § 12101 ADA, Americans with Disabilities Act. 7, 8,
16 9, 12, 16, 14, 15, 19, 22, 45 and 50.
17 13) FRAP 31 C and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.
18 14) 18 U.S. Code § 1514 Restraining order.
19 15) FRCP 11 Sanctions.....5 and 10.
20 16) Supreme Court ruling Rooker Feldman. No. 18-390 10, 12, and 13.
21 17) 18 U.S.C. § 1001 False Statements, Concealment.. 5, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20,
22 24 and 59.
23
24
25 **Constitutional Provisions:**
26
27 18) Judicial Immunity from Suit ARTICLE III SECTION 1. U.S.
28 Constitution.5, 12, 15, 19, 21, 23, 25, 32, 34, 38 and 50.
29
30 19) Article five and fourteen of the US Constitution...5, 6, 7, 14, 15
31 and 22.
32
33 **(Table of Authorities Ends)**
34
35
36
37